Response dated November 20, 2006

Reply to Office Action of September 19, 2006

Docket No. 6169-434

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the instant application:

Listing of Claims:

What is claimed is:

1. (Currently Amended) A method of arranging grammar files in a presentation list, comprising the steps of:

receiving a system request to visually display with a graphical user interface the grammar files in the presentation list;

sorting the grammar files based on a first eriteria criterion that assigns user-defined grammar files priority over built-in grammar files; and

sorting the grammar files according to a second eriteria criterion; and

visually simultaneously displaying user-defined grammar files and the built-in grammar files within the presentation list wherein each user-defined grammar file is visually distinguishable from each built-in grammar file.

- (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the method further comprises the step of displaying the grammar files when a user selects the grammar files.
- 3. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of visually displaying comprises presenting the presentation list such that each grammar file is labeled with a label indicating whether the grammar file is a user-defined grammar file or a built-in grammar file.

Response dated November 20, 2006

Reply to Office Action of September 19, 2006

Docket No. 6169-434

4. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of visually displaying

comprises presenting the presentation list such that each grammar file is presented in a

text format that indicates whether the grammar file is a user-defined grammar file or a

built-in grammar file.

5. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the user-defined grammar

files and the built-in grammar files can share the same name.

6. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the second criteria criterion is

an alphabetical order.

7. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the second eriteria criterion is

a chronological order.

8. (Currently Amended) A system for arranging grammar files in a presentation list

comprises:

a memory; and

a processor programmed to

receive a system request to display the grammar files from the memory in

the presentation list, sort the grammar files based on a first eriteria criterion that assigns user-defined grammar files priority over built-in grammar files,

s user-defined grammar mes priority over bunt-in grammar mes,

sort the grammar files according to a second eriteria criterion, and

visually displaying simultaneously display the user-defined grammar files and the built-in grammar files in the presentation list in a graphical user interface

the presentation list, wherein each user-defined grammar file is visually

distinguishable from each built-in grammar file.

3

Response dated November 20, 2006

Reply to Office Action of September 19, 2006

Docket No. 6169-434

9. (Original) The system of claim 8, wherein the processor is further programmed to

display the grammar files when a user selects the grammar files.

10. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 8, wherein the processor is further

programmed to distinguish between a user-defined grammar and a built-in grammar by

displaying the presentation list such that each grammar file is labeled with a label

indicating whether the grammar file is a user-defined grammar file or a built-in grammar

file.

11. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 8, wherein the processor is further

programmed to distinguish between a user-defined grammar and a built-in grammar by

displaying the presentation list such that each grammar file is presented in a text format

that indicates whether the grammar file is a user-defined grammar file or a built-in

grammar file.

12. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 8, wherein the user-defined grammar

files and the built-in grammar files can share the same name.

13. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 8, wherein the second eriteria criterion is

an alphabetical order.

14. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 8, wherein the second eriteria criterion is

a chronological order.

15. (Original) The system of claim 8, wherein the presentation list is at least one among

a drop-down list and a list box.

4

Response dated November 20, 2006

Reply to Office Action of September 19, 2006

Docket No. 6169-434

16. (Currently Amended) A machine-readable storage, having stored thereon a computer

program having a plurality of code sections executable by a machine for causing the

machine to optimally arrange grammar files in a presentation list, comprising the steps of:

receiving a system request to visually display with a graphical user interface the

grammar files in the presentation list;

sorting the grammar files based on a first eriteria criterion that assigns user-

defined grammar files priority over built-in grammar files;

sorting the grammar files according to a second eriteria criterion; and

visually displaying the presentation list wherein each user-defined grammar file is

visually distinguishable from each built-in grammar file.

17. (Currently Amended) The machine-readable storage of claim 16, wherein the

machine-readable storage is further programmed to sort by the second eriteria criterion

being an alphabetical order.

18. (Currently Amended) The machine-readable storage of claim 16, wherein the

machine-readable storage is further programmed to sort by the second eriteria criterion

being a chronological order.

5